



## **Meeting Minutes**

September 5, 2017

### **Opening**

The regular meeting of DataSHARE Peterborough was called to order at 1pm on September 5, 2017 at the County of Peterborough by Nancy Fischer.

### **Present**

Nancy Fischer – Senior Program Analyst, City of Peterborough  
Caren Thayer – Data Analysis Coordinator, City of Peterborough  
Tammy Sikma – Manager of GIS, County of Peterborough  
Dr. Rosanna Salvaterra – Medical Officer of Health, Peterborough Public Health  
Jane Hoffmeyer – Manager, Foundational Standards, Peterborough Public Health  
Jennifer Chenier – Supervisor – Quality Initiatives, Kawartha-Haliburton Children’s Aid Society  
Brenda Dales – Executive Director, Peterborough Social Planning Council  
Jason Dennison – Manager of Operations, Workforce Development Board  
Mike Gannon – Employment Services Program Manager, City of Peterborough  
Barb Znamirowski – Learning & Liaison Librarian, Trent University  
Lori Richey – Executive Director, Peterborough Family Health Team  
Don Oettinger – Deputy Chief, Professional Standards, Peterborough County-City Paramedics  
Brittany Wakefield – Crime Analyst, Peterborough Police Services  
Cheryl Stager – Program Support Partner, Peterborough Regional Health Centre

### **Regrets**

Julia Wood – Business Development Lead, Peterborough & the Kawarthas Economic Development  
Kelly Jessup – Tourism Marketing Communications Lead, Peterborough & the Kawarthas Economic Development  
Sherry Gosselin – Director of Institutional Research Office/Director of Project Mgmt Office, Fleming College  
Wanda McGonigle - Lands Officer, Hiawatha First Nation  
Mark Skinner – Director, Trent Centre on Aging & Society

## **WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS**

Nancy welcomed the group and invited everyone to introduce themselves and their organization due to new membership. Dr. Salvaterra indicated that this would be her last meeting as Jane Hoffmeyer will be assuming the seat in future on behalf of Peterborough Public Health.

## **REVIEW OF AGENDA & PREVIOUS MINUTES**

The agenda and previous minutes were received as presented.

## **PETERBOROUGH DATA CONSORTIUM STANDING COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE**

Brenda provided an overview on the Peterborough Data Consortium (PDC) to the group. She indicated that there are currently six member organizations (Peterborough Social Planning Council, City of Peterborough, County of Peterborough, Workforce Development Board, Peterborough Public Health, and Peterborough Family Health Team).

Brenda reviewed the Terms of Reference and pointed out that while the PDC operates as a sub-committee to DataSHARE Peterborough (DSP) locally, it follows a specific set of guidelines according to the Canadian Council on Social Development (CCSD). The CCSD approves membership and collects dues in exchange for access to pan-Canadian datasets sub-divided at levels of greater interest locally than those freely available through other sources. The PDC is considered a sub-committee, because DSP members may opt to join the CCSD, if eligible, but this is not required to participate in DSP.

Future PDC meetings will follow updates from the National Data Consortium. Chair, Brenda Dales, will call the meetings, as needed.

## **CANADIAN INDEX OF WELLBEING**

Nancy indicated that the Canadian Index of Wellbeing (CIW) is a recognized National, provincial and now regional-scale tool that can be used to understand analyze trends in quality of life over time.

The CIW is based on eight (8) domains, each with eight (8) indicators that Canadians consider important to their quality of life and is collected at a coarse scale. It is possible to gather local data by conducting a CIW Local Survey and analyzing the results.

The data required to analyze the results at a Township level would need to cover 25% of the 48,000 households in the local community (County & City). This would come at a cost of

approximately \$100,000 and would provide results over time, if the exercise were repeated in another 4-5 years. Nancy asked members to consider this and readdress it at a future meeting. Lori Richey (PFHT) asked if the local LHIN might have funding available to support this effort. Nancy will bring this forward for discussion at the next meeting.

**Action:** All members asked to discuss their capacity to support the funding of a CIW on a 4-5 year repeating scale.

**Action:** Lori Richey & Dr. Salvaterra to discuss this topic with the local LHIN & report back.

## **POVERTY AND EMPLOYMENT PRECARITY IN SOUTHERN ONTARIO**

**Co-Presenters:** Mike Gannon, Employment Services Program Manager for the City of Peterborough & Jason Dennison, Manager of Operations, Workforce Development Board

In June 2017, PPH & Peterborough United Way collaborated to conduct a Community Summit. Two existing publications from 2013 & 2015 which addressed an Employment Precarity Index were explored. An example of employment precarity would be someone working 2-3 part-time jobs without benefits or stability. Poor income & employment stability are often connected with poor health.

Most of the data in existence prior to this study were at the provincial or national level. Historically, Mike reported that Peterborough has been moving in the opposite direction of provincial & national employment trends.

Mike outlined that members of the Precarious Employment Research group that have been involved in collecting, analyzing & developing the Terms of Reference for the use of the data within this project. The PPH holds the data and manages its use. Jason also thanked the PPH for helping to make this data available.

Dr. Wayne Lewchuk, McMaster University, was contracted to conduct the research and analyze the results. The estimated cost of the study was \$45,000. PPH supplied the majority of funding for this project in addition to other funding & in-kind offerings. Leger Marketing conducted 800 surveys over a 6-week period in June 2017.

10 drivers for the precarity index – nature of work, regularity, health benefits if absent, expect pay to remain same or go up, etc

Jason spoke to the survey that was conducted. Leger Marketing used SPSS, a survey software package also used at the WDB, which removes any risk of mis-translated data. Therefore the WDB has been able to analyze the data in-house.

A scale of 0-10 was used to measure precarity. There were 800 surveys collected, although it's important to understand that some questions were not answered and do not tally to this total. Selected respondents were between the ages of 18-70 years. There was a full response to the question of Student Enrollment status with 94% of respondents replying that they were not enrolled as a full-time student.

Fewer responses to questions:

- Total Household Income (630 of 800 surveys)
- Precarity (777 results):
  - Secure = 20%
  - Stable = 19%
  - Vulnerable = 29%
  - Precarious = 33%

Jason spoke to the need to have people involved in the analysis of this data who have expertise in this local data. For example, at what measure should someone be considered vulnerable versus stable? Jason and Mike reported that they haven't yet received clear definitions between "vulnerable" and "stable".

Jason asked the group: "What questions would you like answered? What cross-tabulations would you like to see?" There was some question about what questions were asked and Jason agreed to find out if he can distribute the raw questions from the survey. (The questions have been released and are provided as an attachment.) Of the questions, 3 were for screen-out purposes and the remaining 79 were only asked of qualifying respondents.

Survey questions included topics such as: (i) how many members were in the household, (ii) working conditions of the respondent, (iii) working conditions of the spouse, (iv) discrimination at work, etc. The Peterborough & District Labour Council had wanted to know about the existence of a labour union at work and job security if concerns were raised with employer.

Would like to compare the results of this survey with PEPSO & between previous surveys (2011 & 2014)

It was clarified that the difference between income (e.g., pension, government benefits) and earnings (e.g., wages) was recognized. Locally we do ok with regard to income, but not as well with earnings.

Barbara Znamirowski asked if the raw data might be able to be made available for public use (e.g., university researchers) or whether all analysis needs to be conducted by WDB. Barbara indicated that she believes there would definitely be interest among researchers in analyzing this data. Nancy indicated that this data is anonymous and therefore sharing the data with Trent

researchers should be permissible. The PPH is the owner of this data with a data sharing agreement for the WDB to use it.

**Action:** Mike & Jason to determine if the questions and raw data are available for distribution & analysis outside the WDB, with members of DSP and/or local researchers?

Lori indicated that collecting this data at the postal code level would be important for analysis. Forward sortation codes K0L, K9H, K9J, K9K, K9L were included in the survey responses. The question was raised as to whether residents of the Township of Otonabee-South Monaghan were included.

## WEB PAGE TOUR

Nancy reviewed the draft for the new DSP web site where future meeting presentations can be shared.

**Action:** Nancy invited the group to submit links that would be helpful to people access our site.

## ROUND TABLE

Nancy asked the group about what projects each organization has been working on and asked them to discuss this with a focus on projects which could be improved through collaboration.

City of Peterborough (Social Services)

- Community Wellbeing Plan is underway. The priorities will be identified between now and Thanksgiving. Currently developing Fact Sheets related to each of the 8 domains which can be distributed to the community to better understand the data (e.g., time use, democratic engagement, etc)
- Would like to be analyzing the StatsCan data in the next quarter, but unsure how the City will be able to accomplish this with available resources. Nancy indicated her interest in working with others on this.

City (Data Analysis Coordinator)

- Educational Development Indicators (EDI) update coming soon
- Caren is co-ordinating with data analysis coordinators at Northumberland & CKL to analyze KPS (Kindergarten Parent Survey) data

County of Peterborough

- LiDAR & Aerial Photo data are in the midst of being captured currently

PCCP

- Has access to Paramedic Chiefs of Canada call types,
  - Pan-Canadian data available
- Legislation that governs PCCP is changing and may offer opportunities for destination diversion strategies

- E.g., chronic additions patients may be directed to CMHA rather than hospital setting

#### Peterborough Public Health

- Opioids – the province has provided guidance for a focus on opioid surveillance. PCCP may be especially helpful in working on this partnership. PPH is looking for information about opioid deaths, etc. Part of the provincial funding is available to ensure all communities have a local response. Peterborough has been working together on this issue well for years.
- **Jane** indicated it was her first day in her new role and that will be taking over the DSP seat from Dr. Salvaterra.

#### Peterborough Social Planning Council

- Continuing to work on the Quality of Life report. Currently this is broad, including housing, child care, immigration, etc.
- Brenda suggested that perhaps this could be focused on issues not addressed specifically in the Quality of Life Index, e.g., Democratic engagement?
- Report will be distributed when statistics are collected & ready for distribution. Possibly in spring 2018.
- Transportation is an area of focus.
- Some of the data collected by the WDB is valuable in this work.
- Vitals report is valuable as well.

#### Workforce Development Board

- Several calls for proposals went out in the past few months months:
  1. PSWs study on succession planning,
  2. Transitional Work in Retail & Manufacturing – wholesale trade managers work well in manufacturing
  3. Cyclicity & Seasonality of Tourism being studied in CKL

#### Trent University

- Data analysis & acquisitions is the focus of Barb's section
- Currently working on data sharing efforts
- Working with spatial & statistical data
- Will be doing a lot of analysis from the newly released Census statistics
- Unit is hiring currently to support statistical analysis & visualization. This position has been posted to Trent site, if any members know of interested parties.

#### Peterborough Family Health Team

- Regularly receives anonymized data from PRHC (CTAS 4 & 5) to determine why patients couldn't receive care elsewhere
- Completing a patient satisfaction survey (e.g., able to get appointment in timely manner, were questions answered to your satisfaction?)
- Finalizing patient & advisory council membership
  - 8 directors will be included – ensured diversity among directors (e.g., living with disabilities, senior, working mom, indigenous)

## PRHC

- Most data requests go through Request for Information

## Childrens Aid

- CHILD WELFARE – (Jane)
  - Indicator data to be available on the web site as this grows
  - Currently working through ministry reporting
  - Four Counties For Kids (4C4K)
    - Data plan to go IPC (Integrated Planning Committee)
    - Focused on children 0-18 yrs
    - 3-4 meetings to date, still developing focus
    - Many connections may be possible
    - There are 3 levels to this plan. Executive Directors, for instance look at policies, while other positions will explore implementation.

## Peterborough Police Services

- Intell – unable to share any of this data
- Crime Analysis – Peter Williams, PPS Community Development Coordinator, has been asked to share some data on group homes from the local system
  - Q: How many calls for service is the Police force getting at group homes?
    - This would include any supportive housing situation (e.g., CMHA)
- Data types:
  - Call for Service
    - Calls may originate from locations other than where the crime has occurred
  - Niche
    - Doesn't include services like Toronto, Durham, etc
    - Would need to gather this from CPIC, PIP, etc
    - OPP however is included in Niche data
- Trying to track Overdose calls for service because these are increasing.
- Brittany uses GIS
  - Currently working in MapInfo, but has received approval to use ArcGIS
  - Posts updates to the crime map every Friday on Police web site

## Future Meeting Discussion

Nancy called for members to suggest future presentation topics.

Dr. Salvaterra indicated that they are just about to begin a survey process and would be interested in lessons learned from other organizations. Jennifer Chenier, KHCAS, indicated they had begun a survey last year and have gathered some Lessons Learned. Next meeting to include a shared presentation about the process of running a local survey from planning to implementation to analysis, exploring lessons learned.

**Adjournment**

Meeting was adjourned at 3:00pm by Nancy Fischer. The next meeting will be held from 1-3pm on December 5, 2017, at a location TBD.

Minutes submitted by: Tammy Sikma

Approved by: [Name]